

Table 1: Kings Canyon core results versus RC twin holes

<b>Set 1: KCC07-01 versus KC-33 (RC dry)</b>						
<b>Hole</b>	<b>From</b>	<b>To</b>	<b>Length</b>	<b>Au ppm</b>	<b>GT</b>	<b>GT: core/ RC</b>
KCC07-01	0	92	92	0.39	36.1	45%
and	167	262	<b>95</b>	1.07	101.6	-22%
includes	167	202	35	2.08	73.0	-36%
KC-33	25	90	65	0.38	24.9	
and	160	255	<b>95</b>	1.37	130.1	
includes	165	200	35	3.26	114.2	

<b>Set 2: KCC07-02 versus KC-2 (RC wet)</b>						
<b>Hole</b>	<b>From</b>	<b>To</b>	<b>Length</b>	<b>Au ppm</b>	<b>GT</b>	<b>GT: core/ RC</b>
KCC07-02	178	229	51	1.02	51.9	213%
includes	180	209	<b>29</b>	<b>1.46</b>	42.4	31%
and	253	316	63	0.54	34.1	5%
KC-2	190	210	20	0.83	16.6	
and	270	325	<b>55</b>	<b>0.59</b>	32.3	

<b>Set 3: KCC07-03 versus KC-6 (RC wet)</b>						
<b>Hole</b>	<b>From</b>	<b>To</b>	<b>Length</b>	<b>Au ppm</b>	<b>GT</b>	<b>GT: core/RC</b>
KCC07-03	9	81	72	0.34	24.5	-11%
and	160	246	<b>86</b>	<b>1.40</b>	120.0	19%
includes	170	207.5	37.5	2.08	78.1	15%
KC-6	10	85	75	0.37	27.6	
and	175	260	<b>85</b>	<b>1.19</b>	101.1	
includes	180	215	35	1.94	67.8	

<b>Set 4: KCC07-04 versus KC-38 ( RC dry)</b>						
<b>Hole</b>	<b>From</b>	<b>To</b>	<b>Length</b>	<b>Au ppm</b>	<b>GT</b>	<b>GT: core/ RC</b>
KCC07-04	8	63	55	0.24	13.2	-7%
and	163	252	<b>89</b>	<b>1.82</b>	162.3	4%
includes	168	208	40	3.21	128.3	7%
KC-38	5	60	55	0.26	14.3	
and	160	250	<b>90</b>	<b>1.73</b>	156.1	
includes	165	205	40	3.00	120.0	

<b>Set 5: KCC07-05 versus BMKC-3 (RC wet)</b>						
<b>Hole</b>	<b>From</b>	<b>To</b>	<b>Length</b>	<b>Au ppm</b>	<b>GT</b>	<b>GT: core/RC</b>
KCC07-05	8	66	58	0.25	14.5	44%
and	170.5	245	74.5	0.63	47.2	60%
includes	191	211	20	0.87	17.3	75%
BMKC-3*	10	50	40	0.25	10.1	
and	210	290	80	0.37	29.6	
includes	215	235	20	0.50	9.9	
* = angle hole at -60, thickness and GT exaggerated by 13%						
GT = Grade x thickness						